

## **TESTIMONY**

### A REGULATORY SANDBOX IN OHIO CAN PROMOTE INNOVATION AND REGULATORY REFORM

#### AGNES GAMBILL WEST

Visiting Senior Research Fellow, Mercatus Center at George Mason University

Ohio House Financial Institutions Committee

November 16, 2022

Chair Jordan, Vice Chair Ferguson, Ranking Member Crossman, and distinguished members of the committee, thank you for the opportunity to submit this testimony in relation to Senate Bill 249.

My name is Agnes Gambill West, and I am a visiting senior research fellow at the Mercatus Center at George Mason University. My expertise is in blockchain, financial technology, and decentralized finance, and I have done research on regulatory sandboxes. I have also shared my expertise to help other states in the process of enacting regulatory sandbox legislation, including North Carolina. I have attached a policy article that highlights the factors that make state regulatory sandboxes successful.

Today I would like to offer the following takeaways about regulatory sandboxes:

- 1. Residency and reciprocity are important considerations in regulatory sandbox design.
- 2. States should partner with nonprofits, such as innovation hubs, that support sandbox participants beyond the sandbox.

#### SANDBOX DESIGN: RESIDENCY AND RECIPROCITY ARE KEY

At least 11 states have regulatory sandboxes; however, rates of participation in each state sandbox vary widely.<sup>1</sup> One determinant of the variance is the degree of flexibility in the residency requirements of state sandboxes.

Sandbox legislation should have flexible residency requirements. It might seem that a requirement that sandbox applicants establish a physical presence in a state would lead to job growth and economic activity and be worth including in the program, but strict residency requirements may actually be a

For more information or to meet with the scholar, contact

Mercatus Outreach, 703-993-4930, mercatusoutreach@mercatus.gmu.edu

Mercatus Center at George Mason University, 3434 Washington Blvd., 4th Floor, Arlington, Virginia 22201

<sup>1. &</sup>quot;Regulatory Sandboxes in Your State," Libertas Institute, accessed November 14, 2022, https://libertas.org/outreach /sandbox/state/.

disincentive for out-of-state participants who do not want to relocate for the temporary duration of the sandbox.

For example, Wyoming's legislation stipulates that applicants must have a physical presence in the state.<sup>2</sup> By contrast, Arizona and Hawaii allow out-of-state applicants to participate in the sandbox. Hawaii and Arizona have accepted in-state and out-of-state participants into their sandboxes since the inception of those programs, whereas Wyoming's sandbox has had no participants at all.

The present bill contains provisions that allow flexible residency. For example, the bill requires an applicant to establish a location in the United States, whether physical or virtual, that is accessible to the superintendent. At the same time, the bill provides that the applicant is still subject to the jurisdiction of Ohio through means other than residency, including incorporation or an agreement with the superintendent.

Provisions that support reciprocity with other state sandboxes could also attract sandbox participants. Statutory reciprocity allows sandbox participants to form reciprocity agreements with other states, which would allow those participants to access those states' sandboxes and markets. In doing so, reciprocity multiplies the benefits of a sandbox by allowing participants to engage in experimental sandbox activity across multiple states, rather than in just one.

Currently, 7 out of 11 states that have regulatory sandboxes allow for reciprocity, including Arizona, Florida, North Carolina, Nevada, Utah, West Virginia, and Wyoming.<sup>3</sup> Reciprocity gives startups costeffective access to a larger market at an early stage and exposure to a variety of valuable business insights. Such reciprocal arrangements might be particularly beneficial to entrepreneurs who live in less populated or rural states and who desire access to a different geographical market and other regions with diverse economic activity.

The present bill contains a reciprocity provision, which allows the superintendent to enter into agreements with state, federal, or foreign regulators that would allow Ohio sandbox participants to operate in other jurisdictions and, likewise, permit participants of other states' sandboxes to participate in Ohio's program. This reciprocity provision gives in-state and out-of-state participants the opportunity to test innovative products and services in a flexible regulatory environment across a broader region.

**NONPROFIT PARTNERSHIPS CAN SUPPORT A FINTECH ECOSYSTEM BEYOND THE SANDBOX** The duration of a regulatory sandbox is limited by design, can last on average from 12 to 24 months, and can be extended another 12 months upon request. This limited program duration is important because

Wyoming Division of Banking, *Chapter 1: Financial Technology Sandbox*, December 15, 2019, 1-2, https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Gc4ZVXWXJDqIOxWRvhNxoMP\_mzGypxMv/view (see section 4, Verification of In-State Presence Requirement).
Agnes Gambill West, "States Can Attract More Entrepreneurs by Sharing Sandboxes," *Real Clear Policy*, September 22, 2022; "Frequently Asked Questions," Arizona Attorney General Mark Brnovich, accessed November 14, 2022, https://www.azag.gov/sandbox/faq; H.B. 624, 2021 Sess. (N.C. 2021); H.B. 1391, 2020 Leg., Reg. Sess. (Fla. 2020); "Nevada Sandbox FAQs," State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, accessed November 14, 2022, https://business.nv.gov/Programs/Nevada Sandbox FAQs/; H.B. 243, 64th Leg., 2022 Gen. Sess. (Utah 2022); H.B. 4621, 85th Leg., 2020 Reg. Sess. (W.Va. 2020);

<sup>&</sup>quot;Financial Technology Sandbox," Wyoming Division of Banking, accessed November 14, 2022, https://wyomingbankingdivision .wyo.gov/banks-and-trust-companies/financial-technology-sandbox.

although sandboxes give entrepreneurs an opportunity to test innovative products and services in a modified regulatory environment, not all sandbox participants succeed by the time their regulatory waivers expire.

Upon completion of their participation in a sandbox, entrepreneurs need to plan for an exit strategy, and states can help with that transition. By partnering with nonprofits, such as innovation hubs, that support sandbox participants and innovation in general, states can build more effective fintech ecosystems.

Although regulatory resources may be constrained, nonprofits—especially innovation hubs—can fill an important gap by helping promote innovation and support sandbox participants before, during, and after participation in the sandbox. Specifically, nonprofits can shepherd applicants through the application process, give guidance on regulatory issues, provide contacts at regulatory agencies, and furnish technical and fundraising assistance.

For example, in creating a regional drone sandbox, Arkansas and Oklahoma have partnered with Tulsa Innovation Labs to establish a "launch pad" at the Helmerich Research Center at Oklahoma State University-Tulsa to fuel research and commercialization in the region.<sup>4</sup> The collaboration also taps educational institutions, including community colleges, to support workforce development opportunities. In North Carolina's regulatory sandbox bill, designated nonprofits can help sandbox participants with the design and implementation of products and services and with the sandbox's application process.

The present bill acknowledges the importance of partnerships that support the successful implementation of the regulatory sandbox. For example, the bill states that the superintendent may work with any other state or federal agency to implement the regulatory sandbox, including InnovateOhio. Expanding these partnerships beyond agencies to nonprofits that support innovation in the state and region will amplify the growth and sustainability of innovation in Ohio.

#### CONCLUSION

Regulatory sandboxes can promote innovation and regulatory reform, but the design and execution of a sandbox matters. To attract applicants, Ohio should recognize the importance of flexible residency requirements and reciprocity provisions. Nonprofit partnerships can also create value by supporting applicants beyond the sandbox and creating a sustainable fintech ecosystem in Ohio.

#### ATTACHMENT

Agnes Gambill West, "States Can Attract More Entrepreneurs by Sharing Sandboxes," *Real Clear Policy*, September 22, 2022.

<sup>4.</sup> Center for the Advancement of Science and Technology, "Governors Stitt, Hutchinson Partner to Create Super Region for Advanced Mobility in the Heartland," news release, August 18, 2022, https://oklahoma.gov/ocast/about-ocast/news/governors -stitt--hutchinson-partner-to-create-super-region-for-a.html.

# RealClear Policy

## States Can Attract More Entrepreneurs by Sharing Sandboxes

By Agnes Gambill West September 22, 2022

As more states experiment with "regulatory sandboxes" to give innovators whose products don't fit within old policy models a proving ground, one critique has been surfacing: Participation varies widely. Wyoming has struggled to recruit a single applicant despite its prolific success in creating a crypto-friendly jurisdiction. In comparison, Hawaii's Digital Currency Innovation Lab has admitted at least 12 participants in its first cohort and 15 in the second, Prominent digital currency companies such as SoFi, BlockFi Trading, Gemini Trust Company, and Robinhood Crypto are among the participants. For a more drastic comparison, look across the Atlantic, where the U.K.'s sandbox has received over 550 applications since its 2016 launch.

Why are some states more successful in recruiting sandbox applicants than others? How might they attract more entrepreneurship?

Since 2018, regulatory sandboxes have created safe harbors for entrepreneurs to temporarily test new business models and novel products and services without incurring significant regulatory compliance costs or bearing the risk of noncompliance. Since 2018, 11 states have established them using one of two different models. The "industry-specific" model focuses on regulatory relief for businesses in distinct industries, typically cutting-edge fields like fintech or medical digital innovation. The "universal" model is all-encompassing and offers potential regulatory relief for any type of business in any industry.

Seven of the 11 states allow for reciprocity, arguably the most important provision in regulatory sandbox legislation: Arizona, Florida, North Carolina, Nevada, Utah, West Virginia, and Wyoming. However, Kentucky, South Dakota, Vermont, and Hawaii do not. Reciprocity allows for sandbox-based businesses to transact in other participating states through temporary regulatory waivers.

With statutory reciprocity, the benefits of a sandbox can be multiplied. Participants can engage in experimental business activity across multiple states, opening the door to a more diverse and larger market

tor startups seeking to test their unconventional ideas. Startups can access geographically diverse markets that they otherwise might not consider or be able to reach at an early stage. They can learn how consumer preferences vary across regions and gain access to valuable data and business insights.

Digital asset businesses, which quite often face regulatory uncertainty, may find this multijurisdictional sandbox relief attractive and cost-effective. Other hybrid tech industries, such as AgTech and MedTech, also stand to benefit.

Reciprocity offers several other specific advantages. It could mean relief from cumbersome state licensing requirements, such as money transmission laws, which regulate the sending and receiving of money. The universe of money transmissions law is notoriously difficult and expensive to comply with because each state has its own set of rules — one reason why there have been numerous calls for <u>uniform state money</u> transmission laws or even a federal alternative.

It also alleviates the cost of researching and understanding the different laws and regulatory requirements of various states, which can be significant. Startups can focus on innovation rather than regulatory uncertainty, which might otherwise come at the expense of their bottom lines.

Because cryptocurrency payment platforms and trading exchanges, for example, often need money transmission licenses to legally operate, multistate regulatory waivers could result in significant cost savings for startups. Hawaii's program has already demonstrated the demand for this type of regulatory relief. While beautiful beaches and warm weather certainly don't hurt, the popularity of its sandbox is likely because of the simplicity of its sandbox model, the absence of residency requirements, and the speed and ease of getting a waiver. Other states should emulate this simplified model, and with the benefits of reciprocity they can become even more attractive options for startups.

Reciprocity also encourages regulators to place less emphasis on overly burdensome residency requirements. Wyoming's participation drought is reportedly due to strict requirements that a startup's physical presence be more than just a registered office or agent. Requiring residency in multiple states may inadvertently favor large companies over startups, but negotiating a residency waiver as a condition of reciprocity could level the playing field. Such an agreement could also be a competitive alternative to other regulatory relief initiatives, such as the Catawba Nation's Digital Economic Zone, which allows digitally native web3 or blockchain startups to legally register entities that are entirely virtual.

Some states could benefit from sandbox reciprocity more than others. Startups are incentivized to target large consumer bases in densely populated markets, like California and New York. It is no coincidence, then, that these wealthy states do not have sandbox initiatives while more rural ones, like South Dakota, Wyoming, Kentucky, and West Virginia, do. With reciprocity, rural, less populated states could form a "super sandbox" or "regional sandbox" as a competitive force to challenge the economic dominance of larger states who may be resting on their legacy reputations.

Despite these advantages, reciprocity is not a panacea for sandbox success and states should work to understand what additional changes could foster business dynamism, which has been on a downward trend in recent decades. Furthermore, the use of reciprocity in this space is not well understood. States seem to recognize the benefits, but could do more to create bridges for interstate and even federal participation. Nevada, for example, is already working with the federal Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's Office of Innovation, which manages a No Action Letter and Compliance Assistance Sandbox program. However, to date, no state has formally announced any cooperative agreement with another.

The availability and advantages of existing reciprocity agreements are also not well communicated to the public. These details are rarely listed on state sandbox websites and are often buried in dense legislative text. This important information needs better outreach and marketing, two underutilized strategies for attracting applicants and economic activity to a given state.

Whether or not regulatory sandboxes ultimately diversify state economies and create more high-paying jobs, reciprocity can signal that states are in the business of cooperation and business creation.

Agnes Gambill West is a visiting senior research fellow with the Mercatus Center at George Mason University.