POLICY SPOTLIGHT

INCREASING ACCESS TO QUALITY, LOWER-COST HEALTHCARE IN VERMONT: A POLICY RECOMMENDATION

MATTHEW D. MITCHELL AND ELISE AMEZ-DROZ | FEBRUARY 2019

More than 60 percent of Vermonters live in a rural area. And for over 30 years, access to quality care in these areas has been hindered by the state's certificate-of-need (CON) laws. These laws require those who wish to open new healthcare facilities, expand existing facilities, or even purchase new equipment, to first obtain permission from a regulator. The regulator—in this case, the Green Mountain Care Board—is charged with assessing whether or not the proposed service is needed by the community. The advocates of CON laws claim that by asking providers to demonstrate the need for new services, only those services that benefit the community will be offered. The half-century-long experience with CON laws suggests that they are associated with limited supply, higher prices, and lower-quality care.

On the supply side, researchers find that CON laws are associated with fewer dialysis clinics, fewer hospice care facilities, fewer hospital beds, and fewer hospitals and ambulatory surgery centers in both urban and rural areas. They also find that residents must travel farther to obtain care and that CON laws result in greater racial disparities in the provision of care.

As CON laws have restricted supply, they have also been associated with higher costs of care.³ Research also finds that the quality of care suffers under CON laws, resulting in more postsurgery complications and greater mortality rates.⁴

Vermont currently requires a certificate of need for 30 different services. That is more than any other state (see figure 1). Extrapolating the results from studies of CON and non-CON states, scholars at the Mercatus Center at George Mason University estimate that

a Vermont without CON would likely experience the following improvements:⁵ a \$228 decrease in annual healthcare spending per person; 5 new hospitals in rural areas, where there are currently 13; and a 5 percent decrease in deaths from postsurgery complications.

Research suggests that scaling CON laws back would lead to greater access to care, lower costs, and higher quality, with best results obtained by repealing them entirely. More information on CON laws is available at www.mercatus.org.

Vermont (51) Hawaii (50) District of Columbia (49) New Jersey (48) North Carolina (47) New York (46) Rhode Island (46) West Virginia (46) Tennessee (43) South Carolina (43) Kentucky (41) Georgia (40) Virginia (40) Alabama (40) Alaska (40) state (ranking) Massachusetts (36) Missouri (35) Mississippi (35) Michigan (35) Oregon (32) Florida (32) Maryland (32) Washington (32) Illinois (27) Connecticut (26) Maine (26) Nevada (24) Montana (24) Delaware (24) Arkansas (21) Oklahoma (20) Nebraska (19) Louisiana (18) Ohio (17) Arizona (17) 15 states without CON laws (15) 5 35 15 25 30 10 20 number of CON laws

Figure 1. State Rankings by Number of CON Laws, 2016

Source: Compiled from state laws, current regulatory documents, agency forms, and direct communication with regulators in each state.

NOTES

- Jon M. Ford and David L. Kaserman, "Certificate-of-Need Regulation and Entry: Evidence from the Dialysis Industry," Southern Economic Journal 59, no. 4 (1993): 783-91; Melissa D. A. Carlson et al., "Geographic Access to Hospice in the United States," Journal of Palliative Medicine 13, no. 11 (2010): 1331-38; Thomas Stratmann and Christopher Koopman, "Entry Regulation and Rural Health Care: Certificate-of-Need Laws, Ambulatory Surgical Centers, and Community Hospitals" (Mercatus Working Paper, Mercatus Center at George Mason University, Arlington, VA, 2016); Thomas Stratmann and Jacob W. Russ, "Do Certificate-of-Need Laws Increase Indigent Care?" (Mercatus Working Paper, Mercatus Center at George Mason University, Arlington, VA, 2014).
- Melissa D. A. Carlson et al., "Geographic Access to Hospice in the United States"; Derek DeLia et al., "Effects of Regulation and Competition on Health Care Disparities: The Case of Cardiac Angiography in New Jersey," Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law 34, no. 1 (2009): 63–91.
- Matthew D. Mitchell, "Do Certificate-of-Need Laws Limit Spending?" (Mercatus Working Paper, Mercatus Center at George Mason University, Arlington, VA, 2016); David M. Cutler, Robert S. Huckman, and Jonathan T. Kolstad, "Input Constraints and the Efficiency of Entry: Lessons from Cardiac Surgery," *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy* 2, no. 1 (2010): 51–76.
- Thomas Stratmann and David Wille, "Certificate-of-Need Laws and Hospital Quality" (Mercatus Working Paper, Mercatus Center at George Mason University, Arlington, VA, 2016).
- Thomas Stratmann, Christopher Koopman, Matthew D. Mitchell, James Bailey, Matthew C. Baker, David Wille, and Anne Philpot, "Certificate-of-Need Laws: How CON Laws Affect Spending, Access, and Quality across the States," Mercatus Center at George Mason University, Arlington, VA, August 29, 2017.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Matthew D. Mitchell is a senior research fellow and director of the Equity Initiative at the Mercatus Center at George Mason University. He is also an adjunct professor of economics at George Mason University. In his writing and research, he specializes in public choice economics and the economics of government favoritism toward particular businesses, industries, and occupations.

Elise Amez-Droz is a program associate at the Mercatus Center at George Mason University. Previously, she has worked as a market research analyst for a start-up company in the pharmaceutical industry. She is a graduate of the Fuqua School of Business at Duke University.



WWW.MERCATUS.ORG