



Source: President's FY 2014 Budget from the Office of Management and Budget; CBO's February 2013 Budget and Economic Outlook; "The Moment of Truth," December 2010 via fiscalcommission.gov; "Foundation for Growth," March 2013 via Senate.gov; "Path to Prosperity," March 2013 via House.gov; "Simpson-Bowles's Moment of Truth Project," April 2013. Produced by Veronique de Rugy, Mercatus Center at George Mason University.

The president and numerous politicians claim that the United States does not have an immediate crisis in terms of debt. [The president has gone so far as to say](#), "In fact, for the next 10 years, it's gonna be in a sustainable place." The recent release of budget plans for fiscal year 2014 makes a proper perspective of projections of public debt even more important. This week's chart shows the debt held by the public as a percentage of the gross domestic product (GDP) under various budget proposals.

Debt would end up equaling 73 percent of GDP by 2023 under the president's [plan](#). Projected debt under the Senate Democratic plan is only three percentage points below the president's at 70 percent of GDP (blue lines). That figure nearly aligns with the Simpson-Bowles's bipartisan plan (purple line), which projects debt at 69 percent of GDP, and stands substantially higher than the 55 percent target of the House Republican [budget](#) (red line).

The CBO projects that debt will equal 77 percent of GDP in 2023 under current law (orange line)—far above any of the budget plans. Changes to these laws, such as removing spending cuts from sequestration, will result in debt held by the public soaring to 87 percent of GDP by the end of 2023, as shown in the CBO [alternative](#) scenario (green line).

It's hard to see how any of these budget plans represent a serious attempt to cut the debt, as most of the plans only leave us where we are today, if not worse off. Even the Ryan plan, which promises a 55 percent debt-to-GDP level by 2023, rests on optimistic GDP growth and revenue projections while failing to fully address the unsustainability of the current entitlement programs. The Ryan plan repeals Obama's health care law, but it pushes off urgent Medicare reforms until 2024 and leaves Social Security untouched. These plans prove that Washington lacks the commitment necessary to address the true drivers of the debt: spending for entitlement programs and interest costs on the debt itself.

Veronique de Rugy [expounds](#) on how to properly assess budget plans at the Washington Examiner.

To contact Dr. de Rugy, call 202.550.9246 or email rlandaue@gmu.edu